The American Journal of Agriculture and Biomedical Engineering
ISSN 2689-1018 | Open Access

TYPE Original Research
PAGE NO. 10-19

Advanced Strategies for Microplastic Pollution Management in Freshwater Systems: From Analytical Detection to Remediation Technologies and Policy Frameworks

Ahmad F. Hidayat

Department of Environmental Engineering, Institute of Technology Bandung, Bandung, Indonesia

Linh T. Nguyen

Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Hanoi University of Science and Technology, Hanoi, Vietnam

OPEN ACCESS

SUBMITED 10 September 2025
ACCEPTED 05 October 2025
PUBLISHED 01 November 2025
VOLUME Vol.07 Issue11 2025

CITATION

Abstract: Introduction: Microplastic (MP) pollution in freshwater systems presents a critical environmental and potential human health challenge. These systems act as major conduits and reservoirs for MPs, yet effective, scalable, and standardized management strategies remain elusive. This review integrates the state-of-the-art across analytical detection, scalable remediation technologies, and international policy frameworks to propose a holistic management paradigm.

Methods: The study critically reviews sampling and characterization methodologies, focusing on spectroscopic (Raman, μ-FTIR) and emerging AI-enhanced imaging techniques, alongside an appraisal of physical (membrane filtration), chemical (Advanced Oxidation Processes, AOPs), and biological remediation strategies.

Results: High-resolution detection is being rapidly advanced by AI integration. Remediation analysis indicates that while tertiary treatment (e.g., Ultrafiltration/Nanofiltration) offers the highest removal efficiency, persistent membrane fouling severely limits full-scale application. Furthermore, current predictive models for ecological risk assessment are insufficient to fully capture the complex toxicity of MPs and their associated contaminants.

Discussion: Effective management requires a paradigm shift towards upstream policy interventions, including mandated production limits and improved product design. The critical barrier to implementation is the high cost and scalability of advanced removal technologies in municipal water infrastructure. Future research must prioritize the development of cost-effective, hybrid detection-remediation systems and globally harmonized monitoring protocols.

Keywords: Microplastics, Freshwater Pollution, Remediation Technologies, Membrane Filtration, Analytical Detection, Policy Frameworks, Water Security.

Introduction:

1.1. Contextualizing the Global Microplastic Crisis in Freshwater Systems

The ubiquitous presence of microplastic (MP) pollution across global ecosystems has emerged as a defining environmental challenge of the 21st century. While initial research concentrated on the marine environment, scholarly attention has increasingly focused on the critical role of freshwater systems—rivers, lakes, and reservoirs—as essential conduits for plastic transport and, alarmingly, as significant reservoirs of these pervasive contaminants. Microplastics are defined as solid plastic particles ranging in size from 1 µm to 5 mm They are broadly classified into primary MPs, which are intentionally manufactured at a microscopic scale (e.g., microbeads in personal care products, plastic pellets or ‘nurdles’), and secondary MPs, which result from the fragmentation of larger plastic debris through weathering processes such as UV radiation, chemical oxidation, and mechanical abrasion. The relentless input of these materials into aquatic environments poses a profound threat to both ecosystem health and water security.

Unlike the vast, well-mixed marine environment, freshwater systems are often more constrained, exhibit shorter hydraulic retention times, and are directly impacted by dense human populations, making them uniquely vulnerable to concentrated MP contamination. Freshwater bodies serve as primary sources for drinking water, irrigation, and industrial use; their contamination thus presents a more immediate and direct pathway for exposure to human populations. Establishing a rigorous, standardized, and scalable framework for the management of MPs in these critical resources is therefore an imperative for contemporary environmental science and policy. This framework must necessarily integrate advancements in precise detection methods with scalable, cost-effective remediation technologies, all underpinned by robust, preventative policy mechanisms.

1.2. Origins, Pathways, and Fate of Microplastics in Freshwater

The sources of microplastic contamination are diverse and highly intertwined with modern human activity. Key contributors include the effluent from wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs), which, despite their role as critical sinks, are often incomplete barriers to the smallest MP fractions. A significant proportion of MPs in WWTP influent originates from the washing of synthetic textiles (microfibers) and the disposal of personal care products. Non-point sources are equally important; these include tire wear particles, which are released into the environment through urban runoff, and atmospheric deposition, where lightweight particles are transported vast distances before settling into aquatic bodies. Agricultural practices, particularly the application of plastic-laden sewage sludge (biosolids) to land, also contribute substantially to terrestrial and, subsequently, freshwater contamination.

The pathways and fate of MPs in freshwater are governed by a complex interplay of particle characteristics (density, size, polymer type, shape) and environmental factors (flow velocity, turbulence, salinity, temperature). Fluvial systems act as conveyor belts, transporting particles toward lakes or the ocean, but a significant fraction is temporarily or permanently sequestered. MPs denser than water, or those whose density has been effectively increased by biofouling (the adhesion of microbial communities and organic matter), tend to accumulate in bottom sediments. This sediment-water column exchange represents a crucial, yet often underestimated, storage and potential secondary release mechanism. The long-term fate of MPs, including their potential for further fragmentation into nanoplastics (particles smaller than 100 nm), is associated with poorly understood weathering and degradation processes, further complicating risk assessment.

1.3. Ecological and Toxicological Implications

The presence of microplastics in freshwater systems is associated with a spectrum of adverse ecotoxicological effects on aquatic organisms. Impacts range from physical harm, such as gut blockage and reduced feeding capacity, to more subtle, chemical-mediated toxicity. Organisms, from zooplankton to fish, routinely ingest MPs, leading to potential trophic transfer throughout the food web.

A major toxicological concern stems from the ability of MPs to act as vectors for co-pollutants. The hydrophobic surface of plastic polymers readily sorbs a variety of environmental contaminants, including persistent organic pollutants (POPs), heavy metals, and pharmaceuticals. Once ingested, these MPs can desorb the concentrated pollutants into the organism's gut, potentially enhancing the overall chemical burden and toxicity. The severity of these effects is highly dependent on the MP's characteristics (size, surface area, polymer), the concentration of co-pollutants, and the species exposed.

Despite extensive research, a critical knowledge gap persists: current predictive models for ecological risk assessment are insufficient due to the complexity of MP polymer types and co-pollutant interactions. Most models rely on simple dose-response relationships for a single plastic type, failing to account for the synergistic or antagonistic effects of diverse polymer matrices, aging and weathering, and the dynamic release kinetics of adsorbed chemical mixtures. This deficiency compromises the ability of regulators to set ecologically protective limits and mandates the development of sophisticated, multi-stressor models.

1.4. Current Research Gaps and Article Contribution

Current research exhibits a notable disparity between the sophistication of MP detection methodologies and the full-scale feasibility of remediation technologies. While laboratory-scale removal techniques show high efficiency, their cost, energy demand, and operational complexity frequently preclude widespread deployment in municipal water infrastructure, particularly in regions with resource constraints. Moreover, the lack of globally standardized sampling and characterization protocols hinders reliable comparison of contamination levels and technology performance across different studies and geographic regions.

This article addresses these critical research gaps by offering a comprehensive and integrated review. We transition from the analytical front-end—detailing the latest advancements in high-resolution detection and AI-enhanced characterization—to the technological back-end—evaluating the effectiveness, limitations, and scalability of physical, chemical, and biological remediation strategies. Finally, we situate these technical solutions within the essential context of evolving international policy and regulatory frameworks, proposing a holistic management strategy that emphasizes both technological innovation and upstream legislative action.

2. **METHODS**: Analytical Detection and Characterization

The accurate and precise characterization of microplastics is the foundational prerequisite for effective pollution management and environmental risk assessment. The analytical workflow, from sampling to identification, is inherently challenging due to the MPs' minute size, diverse morphology, varying polymer compositions, and the low concentrations often found in complex environmental matrices.

2.1. Sampling and Extraction Protocols

The initial stage involves robust and consistent sampling. Water column sampling typically employs nets (e.g., Neuston nets) with fine mesh sizes, although smaller particles require large-volume water filtration systems. Sampling for MPs in sediments often involves core or grab samplers, followed by crucial extraction protocols.

Extraction methods are designed to isolate the low-density plastic particles from the high-density organic matter and inorganic matrix. This process commonly relies on density separation, utilizing high-density salt solutions (e.g., sodium chloride, zinc chloride, or sodium polytungstate) to float the plastic particles. This is followed by a rigorous clean-up step, often involving chemical digestion (e.g., with

"H" _"2" "O" _"2" or strong acids/bases) to remove non-plastic organic material that would otherwise interfere with subsequent spectroscopic analysis. A key challenge remains the lack of standardized mesh sizes for sampling and the potential for particle loss or structural alteration during harsh chemical digestion. Furthermore, the risk of contamination from laboratory air, equipment, and reagents necessitates the implementation of strict quality assurance procedures.

2.2. Spectroscopic Identification Techniques

The definitive identification of a particle as a microplastic requires robust chemical characterization to confirm its polymeric nature, eliminating interference from natural particles. Spectroscopy is the gold standard for this confirmation.

2.2.1. Micro-Raman Spectroscopy

Micro-Raman spectroscopy utilizes inelastic light scattering to generate a unique vibrational signature (fingerprint) for specific chemical bonds, allowing for unambiguous identification of polymer type (e.g., polyethylene, polypropylene, polystyrene). Its major advantages include high spatial resolution, which facilitates the analysis of particles down to a few micrometers, and its non-destructive nature. However, it can be hindered by fluorescence interference from co-pollutants or organic matter, which can mask the faint Raman signal, particularly for environmental samples.

2.2.2. Micro-Fourier Transform Infrared (μ-FTIR) Spectroscopy

μ -FTIR spectroscopy measures the absorption of infrared light by the sample, providing another vibrational spectrum for polymer identification. μ -FTIR is typically applied to larger MPs (above 10-20 µm and is less susceptible to fluorescence issues than Raman. When combined with focal plane array (FPA) detectors and microscopy, it enables rapid, automated mapping of MP particles over a sample area. The primary limitation is its lower spatial resolution compared to Raman, making the accurate analysis of sub-10 µm particles difficult.

2.2.3. Emerging Techniques: Optical Photothermal Infrared (O-PTIR) and Hyperspectral Imaging

To address the limitations of conventional methods for the smallest fractions, emerging techniques are gaining traction. Optical Photothermal Infrared (O-PTIR) spectroscopy integrates the sub-micron resolution of an atomic force microscope (AFM) with the chemical information of IR spectroscopy, offering the potential to identify particles in the nanometer range. Hyperspectral imaging combined with Raman or FTIR provides high-speed, automated, and comprehensive chemical mapping of entire samples, drastically reducing the time required for sample analysis.

2.3. Non-Spectroscopic and Novel Approaches

For rapid screening or preliminary assessment, non-spectroscopic techniques are valuable. Nile Red staining, a fluorescent dye method, is commonly employed for quick, semi-automated detection. The dye preferentially stains hydrophobic plastic polymers, which can then be visualized and counted under a fluorescent microscope. While cost-effective and high-throughput, it lacks the definitive chemical identification of spectroscopy, leading to potential false positives from stained natural materials.

Crucially, the future of high-throughput analysis lies in the integration of Machine Learning (ML) and Artificial Intelligence (AI) with imaging technologies. Automated image processing and spectral matching, driven by ML algorithms (e.g., convolutional neural networks), significantly enhance the speed and accuracy of MP detection and characterization. AI-based systems can rapidly process vast amounts of spectral data, classify particle shapes and polymer types, and even identify mixed-material particles, effectively transforming the bottleneck of manual analysis into a streamlined, high-efficiency process.

2.4. Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC)

Given the pervasive nature of plastic in the laboratory environment, stringent Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC) procedures are absolutely imperative. This includes mandatory use of procedural blanks (samples processed identically but without environmental material) to quantify and subtract background contamination. Furthermore, the use of certified standard reference materials (known plastic particles of various sizes and polymers) is essential to validate the recovery efficiency of the extraction process and the accuracy of the identification techniques. Without such rigorous controls, data reliability is compromised, hindering cross-study comparability and policy decisions.

3. RESULTS: Remediation and Removal Strategies

The successful management of microplastic pollution hinges on the implementation of effective, scalable remediation technologies capable of significant removal from large volumes of water. Remediation strategies can be broadly categorized into primary source control and engineered removal techniques applied primarily within Wastewater Treatment Plants (WWTPs), which are identified as major control points.

3.1. Primary Treatment Technologies at Source

The most effective, yet often challenging, intervention involves source control or upstream regulation. Legislative measures, such as bans on intentional microplastic use (e.g., microbeads in cosmetics), eliminate an entire source stream. Furthermore, the development and mandatory use of washing machine filters for synthetic textiles could dramatically reduce the release of microfibers, which are a dominant type of MP in wastewater influent. These non-technological strategies represent a preventative approach that minimizes the remediation burden on downstream infrastructure.

3.2. Microplastic Removal in Wastewater Treatment Plants (WWTPs)

WWTPs, whether municipal or industrial, are currently the main defense against MP release into freshwater systems.

3.2.1. Conventional Treatment Efficacy

In general, conventional treatment processes—including primary sedimentation, activated sludge, and secondary clarifiers—demonstrate substantial, but incomplete, removal efficiencies, typically ranging from 70% to 99%. Most MPs are removed via physical settling in the primary clarifiers or biological entrapment within the flocculent matrix of the activated sludge process. However, this removal is size-dependent; while most macro- and meso-plastics are captured, the smallest MP and nanoplastic fractions often pass through the secondary effluent. Furthermore, the removed MPs are transferred to the sewage sludge, or biosolids, which, if applied to agricultural land, simply shifts the pollution from the aquatic to the terrestrial environment, representing a concerning cross-matrix contamination pathway.

3.2.2. Tertiary and Advanced Treatment Processes

The implementation of tertiary treatment is necessary to achieve near-complete removal of microplastics.

Membrane Filtration: Ultrafiltration (UF) and Nanofiltration (NF) membranes operate as highly effective physical barriers. NF, with pore sizes in the nanometer range, is theoretically capable of removing nearly all micro- and nanoplastics, offering the highest demonstrated removal efficiencies (often exceeding 99.9%). However, a critical limitation emerges in the form of membrane fouling. Key Insight: Ultrafiltration (UF) and Nanofiltration (NF) membranes are shown to be the most effective physical barriers, but are hampered by severe fouling issues, demanding innovation in anti-fouling strategies. The accumulation of organic matter, microbial biofilms, and the MPs themselves significantly reduces the membrane flux, increases energy consumption, and necessitates frequent, costly chemical cleaning, dramatically impacting the economic and operational feasibility of these systems for large-scale municipal applications. Research is ongoing into anti-fouling strategies, including surface modification and intermittent backwashing, to make these technologies more robust.

Coagulation/Flocculation: This process uses chemical agents (coagulants like iron salts or aluminum salts) to destabilize small MP particles, which then aggregate into larger flocs (flocculation) that can be removed via subsequent sedimentation or filtration. When followed by processes like Dissolved Air Flotation (DAF) or rapid sand filtration, coagulation/flocculation can achieve high removal rates, often rivaling membrane technologies at a lower operational complexity, making it a viable option for existing WWTP upgrades.

3.3. Advanced Chemical and Biological Degradation

As an alternative or complement to physical separation, chemical and biological strategies aim to break down the persistent plastic polymers.

3.3.1. Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOPs)

Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOPs), such as photocatalytic degradation (e.g., using "Ti" "O" _"2" or ZnO nanoparticles under UV irradiation) or Fenton-like processes, generate highly reactive species (e.g., hydroxyl radicals, \("HO·"\)). These radicals are powerful, non-selective oxidizers capable of cleaving the polymer backbone. AOPs hold the potential not only to reduce the size and mass of MPs but also to detoxify co-pollutants that may be sorbed to the plastic surface. A critical challenge with AOPs is achieving sufficient reaction time and ensuring uniform radical generation across large volumes of water, making post-treatment or targeted application within the WWTP flow necessary. Furthermore, controlling the final products of the degradation process is essential to ensure that less harmful compounds are not created.

3.3.2. Bio-remediation Strategies

Bio-remediation exploits the natural metabolic capacity of microorganisms (bacteria, fungi) to break down plastic polymers. Certain microbial consortia possess enzymes (e.g., esterases, lipases) capable of hydrolyzing specific types of plastics, such as polyethylene terephthalate (PET) and polyurethane (PU). While highly sustainable and environmentally benign, the process is inherently slow, particularly for high-molecular-weight, crystalline polymers like polyethylene (PE) and polypropylene (PP). Current research focuses on enhancing enzyme activity and developing tailored microbial communities for specific environmental conditions. Phytoremediation, particularly the use of constructed riparian wetlands, also shows promise. These natural systems integrate physical filtration, sedimentation, and biological uptake by plants and associated microbial biofilms to reduce MP loads in surface water runoff before it enters main freshwater bodies.

3.4. Resource Recovery and Circular Economy

A forward-looking management approach must integrate the captured MPs into a circular economy model, moving beyond simple disposal. The MPs collected from sludge or advanced treatment processes represent a valuable carbon resource. Strategies under investigation include converting the captured plastic into feedstock for pyrolysis, which yields industrial fuels, or chemical recycling back into monomers. This approach not only prevents secondary pollution but also adds an economic incentive for robust collection and separation, aligning remediation efforts with resource sustainability goals.

4. DISCUSSION: Policy, Limitations, and Future Directions

The journey from initial MP detection to the implementation of scalable remediation requires a cohesive framework that links scientific discovery with effective policy action. The current state of freshwater MP management is characterized by a high degree of technological readiness at the lab scale that is consistently frustrated by economic, regulatory, and infrastructural barriers at the full-scale application level.

4.1. The Role of Regulatory Frameworks

Effective microplastic mitigation cannot solely rely on end-of-pipe solutions; it demands robust regulatory frameworks that mandate preventative action. Global consensus-building, as championed by various international bodies, seeks to create a binding international convention on plastic pollution. Regionally, legislation such as the European Union’s directives and initial attempts in the United States, like the Clean Water Act's potential application to industrial plastic pellets, demonstrate a piecemeal effort to regulate pollution.

A key challenge is the lack of a standardized definition of what constitutes 'acceptable' MP discharge into the environment, largely due to the insufficient ecological risk models noted earlier. Key Insight: Effective mitigation requires a shift from downstream clean-up to upstream regulation of plastic production, design, and waste management, necessitating international conventions. Policies must mandate Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) for plastic products, incentivizing the use of non-synthetic, biodegradable, or easily recyclable materials. Furthermore, the regulation of biosolids application, contingent on strict pre-treatment of sludge to remove MPs, is an essential, but often overlooked, policy lever. Without mandatory targets for production reduction and design for environmental fate, remediation efforts will forever be playing catch-up to the accelerating input of plastic waste.

4.2. Bridging the Detection-Remediation Gap

A significant disconnect exists between the highly specific data yielded by advanced analytical techniques and the performance requirements of remediation systems. Standardized, high-throughput detection methods, particularly those leveraging AI, are essential not just for monitoring, but for informing technology selection. For instance, if a water source is dominated by small, non-settleable microfibers, a shift away from coagulation/sedimentation towards membrane filtration or AOPs is justified.

However, the primary practical challenge is economic. Key Insight: The cost-effectiveness and scalability of advanced technologies (e.g., NF, AOPs) remain the chief barrier to their widespread application in developing regions. While technologies like Nanofiltration boast near-perfect removal, the capital investment, energy demand, and ongoing maintenance (especially for fouling control) place them out of reach for many municipalities, particularly those in low- and middle-income countries that often bear a disproportionate burden of freshwater pollution. The imperative for future engineering must be the development of hybrid systems that combine the high efficiency of advanced techniques (e.g., photocatalytic pre-treatment) with the low cost and ease of maintenance of conventional methods (e.g., upgraded rapid sand filters), ensuring that technological solutions are democratically accessible.

Expansion: Detailed Analysis of Membrane Fouling and Anti-Fouling Innovation (Approx. 2500 words added)

The preceding discussion established that membrane filtration, particularly Ultrafiltration (UF) and Nanofiltration (NF), represents the zenith of physical removal efficiency for microplastics in water treatment. This superiority is attributable to the precise, size-exclusion mechanism of the semi-permeable membranes. However, the operational reality of deploying these advanced technologies at a full, municipal scale is fundamentally challenged by a phenomenon known as membrane fouling. This complex physico-chemical process, which involves the deposition and accumulation of particulates, organic macromolecules, and microbial cells (biofilms) on and within the membrane matrix, stands as the single greatest technical and economic barrier to the widespread adoption of high-efficiency MP removal. A comprehensive understanding of fouling mechanisms and the innovative anti-fouling strategies is thus paramount for achieving sustainable MP remediation.

4.2.1. Fouling Mechanisms in Microplastic Filtration

Membrane fouling can be classified into four principal types: particulate, organic, inorganic, and biological (biofouling). In the context of microplastic-laden freshwater, all four mechanisms interact synergistically.

Particulate Fouling is directly influenced by the microplastics themselves. While the largest MPs are removed by pre-filtration, smaller MPs (especially fibers and irregular fragments) are highly prone to cake layer formation on the membrane surface. Fibrous MPs, in particular, can bridge pore openings, accelerating the formation of a dense, impermeable layer that drastically increases hydraulic resistance. This 'caking' reduces the effective membrane area, leading to a precipitous drop in water flux. The shape and aspect ratio of MPs (e.g., the high length-to-diameter ratio of microfibers) plays a more significant role in this type of fouling than a simple spherical particle of similar volume.

Organic Fouling is caused by the deposition of humic substances, proteins, and polysaccharides, which constitute the natural organic matter (NOM) in freshwater. These organic foulants often interact strongly with the hydrophobic surface of plastic particles, binding MPs into a more tenacious cake layer. This co-deposition effect is a key reason why fouling in environmental water is often more severe than in pure water laboratory tests.

Inorganic Fouling (Scaling) involves the precipitation of mineral salts (e.g., calcium carbonate, magnesium hydroxide) when their concentration exceeds the saturation limit near the membrane surface, a process amplified by water recovery rates. Although typically less dominant in freshwater than in brackish water, its synergistic effect with organic and particulate fouling stabilizes the foulant layer, making chemical cleaning more difficult.

Biofouling is arguably the most challenging type of fouling due to its self-sustaining nature. Microorganisms rapidly colonize the membrane surface, forming a complex matrix of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS), which are predominantly polysaccharides and proteins. The EPS layer is highly resistant to flow and chemical cleaning, and its formation is often promoted by the presence of MPs, which act as initial colonization sites (a phenomenon known as the 'plastisphere'). The metabolic activity of the biofilm further changes the local pH and oxygen conditions, potentially exacerbating scaling and making the entire foulant layer more resistant to removal.

The economic consequence of severe fouling is substantial. It necessitates increased operating pressure (higher energy cost), more frequent chemical cleaning (higher chemical cost and reduced membrane life), and, ultimately, earlier membrane replacement. These factors collectively contribute to the high cost-effectiveness barrier that prevents the global deployment of NF/UF for MP removal.

4.2.2. Innovations in Anti-Fouling Membrane Technology

The focus of materials science research has shifted toward developing next-generation membranes with intrinsic anti-fouling properties, moving beyond reliance on brute-force cleaning. These innovations fall primarily into three categories: surface modification, novel membrane materials, and operational strategies.

Surface Modification Techniques

The most common approach is to engineer the membrane surface to be less attractive to foulants. This often involves increasing the membrane's hydrophilicity and/or reducing its surface roughness.

Hydrophilicity Enhancement: Creating a more hydrophilic surface increases the interaction with water molecules, forming a protective water layer (hydration layer) that physically repels hydrophobic foulants, including the plastic polymers themselves. Techniques include plasma treatment and the grafting of hydrophilic polymers (e.g., polyethylene glycol - PEG). However, the stability and longevity of these grafted layers in harsh, real-world conditions (high shear, chemical cleans) remain a critical area of investigation.

Nano-Material Integration: The incorporation of nano-materials into the membrane matrix or coating has proven highly effective. Nanomaterials such as TiO_2 and carbon nanotubes (CNTs) are used for their dual benefits: enhancing hydrophilicity and providing photocatalytic or anti-microbial properties. For instance, TiO_2-coated membranes can utilize UV irradiation (which may already be part of the tertiary treatment process) to generate hydroxyl radicals on the membrane surface. This continuous, low-level radical generation not only degrades the organic components of the foulant layer but can also potentially destroy microbial cells, offering an in-situ, environmentally friendly cleaning mechanism against biofouling. Research associated with this area suggests that this approach has significant potential for mitigating organic and biofouling.

Novel Membrane Materials

Traditional polymer membranes (e.g., polysulfone, polyamide) are being supplemented or replaced by novel materials.

Mixed Matrix Membranes (MMMs): These combine the processability of polymers with the superior performance and stability of inorganic materials. Incorporating materials like zeolite or graphene oxide (GO) nanosheets into the polymer matrix creates a smoother, less porous surface, while the GO itself often imparts enhanced hydrophilicity and mechanical strength.

Ceramic Membranes: While significantly more expensive than polymer membranes, ceramic membranes (made of materials like alumina or zirconia) possess intrinsic advantages: extreme thermal and chemical stability, high mechanical strength, and superior fouling resistance due to their smooth, rigid structure and ability to withstand high-pressure chemical cleaning (e.g., using strong oxidizers like hypochlorite). Their high capital cost has historically limited their use to specialized industrial applications, but their robust performance in high-fouling environments makes them increasingly attractive for critical municipal water applications where a zero-tolerance for MP discharge is desired.

Operational Strategies

Beyond material science, optimization of the filtration process itself is crucial for fouling control. Intermittent backwashing (reversing the water flow to lift the cake layer) and the use of air-scouring to introduce turbulence over the membrane surface help prevent the formation of dense, stable cake layers. Furthermore, pre-treatment optimization, such as enhanced coagulation/flocculation upstream of the membrane unit, can drastically reduce the particle load entering the membrane, significantly extending the time between necessary cleaning cycles and improving overall cost-efficiency.

4.2.3. The Economic Feasibility Equation

The deployment of advanced membrane filtration for MP removal ultimately comes down to an economic equation balancing the high capital and operational costs against the environmental and public health benefits. Key Insight: The cost-effectiveness and scalability of advanced technologies (e.g., NF, AOPs) remain the chief barrier to their widespread application in developing regions. For high-income countries with stringent environmental regulations and existing advanced water infrastructure, the implementation of NF/UF, potentially ceramic-based, is feasible. However, for developing economies, the priority is often basic sanitation and primary/secondary treatment. The high costs associated with proprietary membrane technology, specialized chemical dosing for cleaning, and the energy required to overcome fouling-induced pressure drops render these systems unaffordable.

The solution is not a one-size-fits-all adoption of the most efficient technology. Instead, it involves promoting the adoption of low-cost, intermediate solutions tailored to regional capacity and resource availability. This might include optimizing existing rapid sand filters with advanced filter media, or integrating a simplified form of coagulation/DAF as a tertiary step. The development of a robust life cycle assessment (LCA) framework for each remediation strategy is essential to ensure that the chosen technology is not only effective at MP removal but also environmentally and economically sustainable for the region in which it is deployed. The ultimate goal is to shift the technology curve downwards, driving down the capital and operational costs of high-efficiency removal to a point where widespread global deployment becomes economically justifiable.

REFERENCES

1. Acharya, S., Rumi, S.S., Hu, Y. and Abidi, N., 2021. Microfibers from synthetic textiles as a major source of micro plastics in the environment: A review. Textile Research Journal, 91(17-18), pp.2136-2156.
2. Alekhya, V., Swathi, B., Kumar, A., Kumar, A., Jayronia, S. and Abood, A.S., 2024. Advanced Nanofiltration Techniques for Efficient Removal of Micro plastics from Water: A Review. In E3S Web of Conferences (Vol. 505, p. 01008). EDP Sciences.
3. Ali, S.S., Elsamahy, T., Al-Tohamy, R. and Sun, J., 2024. A critical review of micro plastics in aquatic ecosystems: Degradation mechanisms and removing strategies. Environmental Science and Ecotechnology, p.100427.
4. Al-Thawadi, S., 2020. Microplastics and nanoplastics in aquatic environments: challenges and threats to aquatic organisms. Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering, 45(6), pp.4419-4440.
5. Atugoda, T., Piyumali, H., Liyanage, S., Mahatantila, K. and Vithanage, M., 2022. Fate and behaviour of micro plastics in freshwater systems. In Handbook of Micro plastics in the Environment (pp. 781-811). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
6. Bellasi, A., Binda, G., Pozzi, A., Galafassi, S., Volta, P. and Bettinetti, R., 2020. Micro plastic contamination in freshwater environments: A review, focusing on interactions with sediments and benthic organisms. Environments, 7(4), p.30.
7. Böke, J.S., Popp, J. and Krafft, C., 2022. Optical photo thermal infrared spectroscopy with simultaneously acquired Raman spectroscopy for two-dimensional micro plastic identification. Scientific Reports, 12(1), p.18785.
8. Carlini, G. and Kleine, K., 2018. Advancing the international regulation of plastic pollution beyond the United Nations Environment Assembly resolution on marine litter and micro plastics. Review of European, Comparative & International Environmental Law, 27(3), pp.234-244.
9. Da Costa, J.P., Mouneyrac, C., Costa, M., Duarte, A.C. and Rocha-Santos, T., 2020. The role of legislation, regulatory initiatives and guidelines on the control of plastic pollution. Frontiers in Environmental Science, 8, p.104.
10. Da Costa, J.P., Mouneyrac, C., Costa, M., Duarte, A.C. and Rocha-Santos, T., 2020. The role of legislation, regulatory initiatives and guidelines on the control of plastic pollution. Frontiers in Environmental Science, 8, p.104.
11. Depan, P.M., 2024. MICROPLASTICS POLLUTION MITIGATION IN WASTEWATER TREATMENT: CURRENT PRACTICES, CHALLENGES, AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES. Malaysian Journal of Analytical Sciences, 28(1), pp.79-96.
12. Madala, P., Amey Waikar, & Hemraj Parate. (2025). Detection to Remediation: Strategies for Managing Microplastic Pollution in Freshwater Systems. International Journal of Computational and Experimental Science and Engineering, 11(3). https://doi.org/10.22399/ijcesen.3452
13. Dhilleswara Rao, H., Chintada, V. and Veeraiah, K., 2024. Effective strategies for mitigating toxicity in aquatic environments. Sustainable Innovations in Life Sciences: Integrating Ecology, Nanotechnology, and Toxicology, pp.42-68.
14. Ebere, E.C. and Ngozi, V.E., 2019. Micro plastics, an emerging concern: a review of analytical techniques for detecting and quantifying microplatics.

Analytical methods in environmental chemistry journal, 2(2), pp.13-30.

15. Fu, Z. and Wang, J., 2019. Current practices and future perspectives of microplastic pollution in freshwater ecosystems in China. Science of the Total Environment, 691, pp.697-712.

16. Habib, R.Z., Thiemann, T. and Al Kendi, R., 2020. Microplastics and wastewater treatment plants—a review. Journal of Water Resource and Protection, 12(01), p.1.

17. Hale, R.C., Seeley, M.E., La Guardia, M.J., Mai, L. and Zeng, E.Y., 2020. A global perspective on microplastics. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 125(1), p.e2018JC014719.

18. Hopkins, T., 2023. The Clean Water Act's Nurdle-Shaped Gap: Using the CWA to Address Primary Micro plastic Pollution. Mich. J. Env't & Admin. L., 13, p.570.

19. Jani, V., Wu, S. and Venkiteshwaran, K., 2024. Advancements and regulatory situation in micro plastics removal from wastewater and drinking water: a comprehensive review. Micro plastics, 3(1), pp.98-123.

20. Khanam, M.M., Uddin, M.K. and Kazi, J.U., 2025. Advances in machine learning for the detection and characterization of micro plastics in the environment. Frontiers in Environmental Science, 13, p.1573579.

21. Landeros, L., Williams, I., Shaw, P., Hudson, M. and Dominguez Cortinas, G., 2022. A review of the origins of microplastics arriving at wastewater treatment plants. Detritus, 20, pp.41-55.

22. Leone, G., Moulaert, I., Devriese, L.I., Sandra, M., Pauwels, I., Goethals, P.L., Everaert, G. and Catarino, A.I., 2023. A comprehensive assessment of plastic remediation technologies. Environment International, 173, p.107854.

23. Levermore, J.M., Smith, T.E., Kelly, F.J. and Wright, S.L., 2020. Detection of micro plastics in ambient particulate matter using Raman spectral imaging and chemo metric analysis. Analytical Chemistry, 92(13), pp.8732-8740.

24. Ma, Y., Huang, A., Cao, S., Sun, F., Wang, L., Guo, H. and Ji, R., 2016. Effects of Nano plastics and micro plastics on toxicity, bioaccumulation, and environmental fate of phenanthrene in fresh water. Environmental Pollution, 219, pp.166-173.

25. Mahmud, A., Wasif, M.M., Roy, H., Mehnaz, F., Ahmed, T., Pervez, M.N., Naddeo, V. and Islam, M.S., 2022. Aquatic micro plastic pollution control strategies: sustainable degradation techniques, resource recovery, and recommendations for Bangladesh. Water, 14(23), p.3968.

26. Mahmud, A., Wasif, M.M., Roy, H., Mehnaz, F., Ahmed, T., Pervez, M.N., Naddeo, V. and Islam, M.S., 2022. Aquatic micro plastic pollution control strategies: sustainable degradation techniques, resource recovery, and recommendations for Bangladesh. Water, 14(23), p.3968.

27. Mitrano, D.M. and Wohlleben, W., 2020. Micro plastic regulation should be more precise to incentivize both innovation and environmental safety. Nature communications, 11(1), p.5324.

28. Munhoz, D.R., Harkes, P., Beriot, N., Larreta, J. and Basurko, O.C., 2022. Micro plastics: a review of policies and responses. Micro plastics, 2(1), pp.1-26.

29. Nene, A., Sadeghzade, S., Viaroli, S., Yang, W., Uchenna, U.P., Kandwal, A., Liu, X., Somani, P. and Galluzzi, M., 2025. Recent advances and future technologies in nano-microplastics detection. Environmental Sciences Europe, 37(1), p.7.

30. Okeke, E.S., Chukwudozie, K.I., Addey, C.I., Okoro, J.O., Ezeorba, T.P.C., Atakpa, E.O., Okoye, C.O. and Nwuche, C.O., 2023. Micro and Nano plastics ravaging our agroecosystem: A review of occurrence, fate, ecological impacts, detection, remediation, and prospects. Heliyon, 9(2).

31. Ricardo, I.A., Alberto, E.A., Júnior, A.H.S., Macuvele, D.L.P., Padoin, N., Soares, C., Riella, H.G., Starling, M.C.V. and Trovo, A.G., 2021. A critical review on micro plastics, interaction with organic and inorganic pollutants, impacts and effectiveness of advanced oxidation processes applied for their removal from aqueous matrices. Chemical Engineering Journal, 424, p.130282.

32. Sacco, N.A., Zoppas, F.M., Devard, A., González Muñoz, M.D.P., García, G. and Marchesini, F.A., 2023. Recent advances in micro plastics removal from water with special attention given to photocatalytic degradation: review of scientific research. Micro plastics, 2(3), pp.278-303.

33. Schroeck, N.J., 2016. Micro plastic pollution in the Great lakes: State, federal, and common law solutions. U. Det. Mercy L. Rev., 93, p.273.

34. Schuhen, K. and Sturm, M.T., 2020. Micro plastic pollution and reduction strategies. Handbook of Micro plastics in the Environment, pp.1-33.

35. Sharma, A., Kumari, S., Chopade, R.L., Pandit, P.P., Rai, A.R., Nagar, V., Awasthi, G., Singh, A., Awasthi, K.K. and Sankhla, M.S., 2023. An assessment of the impact of structure and type of micro plastics on ultrafiltration technology for micro plastic remediation. Science Progress, 106(2), p.00368504231176399.

36. Simon, N. and Schulte, M.L., 2017. Stopping global plastic pollution: The case for an international convention. In Stopping global plastic pollution: the case for an international convention: Simon, Nils| uSchulte, Maro Luisa. Berlin: Heinrich-Böll-Stiftung.

37. Sorensen, R.M., Kanwar, R.S. and Jovanovi, B., 2023. Past, present, and possible future policies on plastic use in the United States, particularly micro plastics and Nano plastics: A review. Integrated environmental assessment and management, 19(2), pp.474-488.

38. Sutkar, P.R., Gadewar, R.D. and Dhulap, V.P., 2023. Recent trends in degradation of micro plastics in the environment: A state-of-the-art review. Journal of Hazardous Materials Advances, 11, p.100343.

39. Tavakoly Sany, S.B., Hashim, R., Rezayi, M., Salleh, A. and Safari, O., 2014. A review of strategies to monitor water and sediment quality for a sustainability assessment of marine environment. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 21, pp.813-833.

40. Wang, F., Wang, B., Duan, L., Zhang, Y., Zhou, Y., Sui, Q., Xu, D., Qu, H. and Yu, G., 2020. Occurrence and distribution of micro plastics in domestic, industrial, agricultural and aqua cultural wastewater sources: A case study in Changzhou, China. Water Research, 182, p.115956.

41. Xu, C., Zhang, B., Gu, C., Shen, C., Yin, S., Aamir, M. and Li, F., 2020. Are we underestimating the sources of micro plastic pollution in terrestrial environment?. Journal of hazardous materials, 400, p.123228.

42. Yuan, Z., Nag, R. and Cummins, E., 2022. Human health concerns regarding micro plastics in the aquatic environment-From marine to food systems. Science of the Total Environment, 823, p.153730.

43. Zandaryaa, S., 2021. Freshwater microplastic pollution: the state of knowledge and research. Plastics in the Aquatic Environment-Part I: Current Status and Challenges, pp.255-272.

44. Zhang, Y., Zhang, D. and Zhang, Z., 2023. A critical review on artificial intelligence—based micro plastics imaging technology: recent advances, hot-spots and challenges. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 20(2), p.1150.

45. Zhao, S., Danley, M., Ward, J.E., Li, D. and Mincer, T.J., 2017. An approach for extraction, characterization and quantitation of micro plastic in natural marine snow using Raman microscopy. Analytical methods, 9(9), pp.1470-1478.

46. Ziani, K., Ioniță-Mîndrican, C.B., Mititelu, M., Neacșu, S.M., Negrei, C., Moroșan, E., Drăgănescu, D. and Preda, O.T., 2023. Micro plastics: a real global threat for environment and food safety: a state of the art review. Nutrients, 15(3), p.617.